

## Written Follow-Up Questions for Kinder Morgan

- 1.) Documentation provided to the Town by Kinder Morgan estimated tax payments to Brookline of \$441,472 annually. Given Brookline's current tax rate of \$32.85 per thousand of assessed value, annual tax payments of \$441,472 equate to an assessed value of the pipeline in Brookline of about \$13.44 million. Please provide confirmation that Kinder Morgan's estimated tax payment is based on an assumed tax assessment of approximately \$13.44 million for 2.73 miles of pipeline (and no ancillary equipment such as valves or a metering station) in Brookline. Can we speak to the firm that generated these figures for Kinder Morgan if we have further questions?
- 2.) During Kinder Morgan's presentation in Brookline on June 10th a representative of the company noted that the value of the pipeline depreciates annually, thereby lowering the annual tax payment to the town. If it is still transporting natural gas, its value to Kinder Morgan has not depreciated, so why should the assessed value to the town depreciate? What is Kinder Morgan's fully depreciated value for the proposed pipeline in Brookline and how many years does it take to reach this fully depreciated value?
- 3.) In areas where pipeline construction would cause adverse visual impact (such as a house now being visible from tree clearing for the pipeline) can the easement width (both temporary and permanent) be reduced and can mature plantings be mandated to restore the temporary easement area?
- 4.) Do you typically site gas pipelines along the right of way of power lines? What issues have you dealt with when siting gas pipelines along power line rights of way?
- 5.) Please calculate the potential impact radius, using PHMSA regulations, for a 36-inch and 30-inch gas pipeline operating at 1,460 pounds per square inch.
- 6.) Why did you choose the south side vs. the north side of the power lines in Brookline for the location of the pipeline?