In April of 2013, the Brookline, NH Police moved from their offices in the basement of Town Hall, the Daniels Academy Building, to the new Safety Complex at 3 Post Office Dr, at the corner of Milford St. and Route 13.

While packing up, many old manuals and other books were discovered. They were packed and moved to the new facility. Later that week, Master Patrolman Scott Tadisco was at the Community watch group organized by Nancy Reinbold, to give an update on police activity. Also speaking was Keith Thompson, promoting the newly formed Brookline Town History Committee.

When Scott mentioned the old books to the group, Keith very excitedly said he would email Chief Bill Quigley about stopping by to see if the books had any historical value. In his email, Keith mentioned off-hand, "You never know, someone might have tucked something interesting into the books."

The next week, Keith stopped by the station and he and Chief Quigley began to look through them. A couple of the books appeared to have historic value, and some had beautiful leather bindings.

Then, tucked in the front of one book, Keith found a copy of the program for Brookline's celebration of the United States' Bicentennial in 1976. A very interesting find, indeed. After that, Chief Quigley opened another book and found a paper that looked older. Much older.

Then, Bill and Keith saw something amazing. The name Raby. Raby was the original name of Brookline, but it had changed in the 18th century. What an exciting discovery! The document from Raby of old was written as a response to the proposed New Hampshire Constitution. The document is from the Spring of 1783 at the latest, possibly from the Spring or Fall of 1782.

They had found a handwritten document, an apparent draft of the Raby Town Meeting response to the proposed Constitution of New Hampshire. A document apparently 230 or 231 years old.

Hale of Newhampetiner Hillbrugh We the In Habitance of the lown of Raby having token emder ou pontiourate This New & Prefort Plan of government this proper to fundry objections objection I we think it not general to the good Peopple of this Ital that Each town South Pay their own Representative for this Reason we then the bourship is Mink that long individual aught to bourship Egenel Part of their Reportentation according to their ability as at one Equally Intruffer Musin as we contend that Each Perjor South not Day their Equil Prepartion when a town of one homeone I fifty voton must Pay one the per Santative and a town of four hundred and fifty Pay but two for the we think that it would, more Equal for back Representation to be Pais unt of the Mate Treatury on by the Mate at Large objection? We think that it ill not be Benificial to the good Peopple of this State to have a gonesser for this Reason whene a the Commity of Safly in our of mining how Conduct in State afairy with much Privance of to the general Satisfaction of the Propple and we feel our delves happyny under them as the For eating branch of gover ment we for the third it would be Lat Coast to Reft the forcention Towns under the hund, of a cost of Safty them in the hund it in the hand of a governor de Meray as at Sonfillely feel the heavy Burton and fortremity of the war

of governor. Thouler the minds of the negority of The State to have a goor nor Ne object against his Zoilencian Power obje I We think that haught never to Proroge or a good the fort without their Concent goings be on a safe of Distagreement be tween the two houses in Regarde to a gornment rayhyphotograff no

The Commity Cholin to take und Contra-the presson Constitution hoving taken it ander Consideration have much the follows objections By